Comparing post-course retention of conceptual and procedural knowledge in genetics

Lisa McDonnell & Pam Kalas





Department of Zoology



Project Outline

Goal: To assess retention of conceptual knowledge versus procedural knowledge after students completed the second year Fundamentals of Genetics course (BIOL 234).

- Former 234 students were recruited to write the conceptual test^{1,2} as well as open-ended questions typical of the problem solving questions on midterms and the course final exam (procedural test).
- Students had completed the course 2.5 to 3 months prior to retention testing.
- The 44 students who volunteered for retention testing were self-selected from 441 students that completed Biology 234 in December 2012.

Testing Schedule



Results – Concept Inventory Test

Table 1. Summary of student performance on the concept inventory test (CI). Average scores were calculated for the whole class (n=406 pre-test, n=400 post-test) and for the self-selected volunteers who participated in retention testing (n=44). Standard deviations are in parentheses.

	234 Class	Retention Volunteers
Cl Pre-Test	36 % (17)	36% (17)
CI Post-Test	71% (17)	73% (22)
CI Retention Test		72% (20)

Results – Concept Inventory Test

- The matched students (wrote both the pre- and post- test, n=257) made significant gains on the concept inventory with an average normalized change of 46%.
- Comparing pre-test and post-test student responses patterns shows movement away from the most commonly chosen incorrect answer (MCIA) (Figure 1 & 2).
- The self-selected volunteer group had a higher normalized change (55%) compared to the class population and performed better on all other assessments. This group showed significant retention of conceptual knowledge based on CI scores (Figure 3).



Figure 1. Percentage of students who selected the correct answer (dark bars), and the most common incorrect answer (MCIA, light bars) for each question of the concept inventory (CI) pre-test in BIOL234 Fall 2012 (N=270, matched students only).



Figure 2. Percentage of students who selected the correct answer (dark bars), and the most common incorrect answer (MCIA, light bars) for each question of the post-test in BIOL234 Fall 2012 (N=270, matched students only).



Figure 3. Percentage of students selecting the correct answer for each question in the pre-test (PRE), post-test (POST), and during the retention test (RET) concept inventory test. The students who participated in this study were self-selected volunteers (N=44, retention group only).

Results – Retention Testing

- The average score on the CI retention test was not statistically different from the average core on the CI post test written during the 2012 term.
- CI retention scores were significantly higher than the average score on the retention procedural test (t-test P<0.01). Average final exam scores are presented for comparison (n=44 for all values).

Average Score (SD)	
73.1 % (22.5)	
72.1% (17.4)	
76.5 % (10.5)	
50.5 % (15.6)	

 Student scores on the conceptual test were not correlated to performance on the procedural test (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Selecting the correct response on the concept inventory test is not correlated with performance on the associated procedural test item. The average score for two procedural items are shown here: Topic A (genetic linkage) and Topic B (genetic complementation). Students were sorted based on selecting the correct answer on the associated inventory question (CI correct) or the incorrect answer (CI wrong). Error bars are standard deviation (CI correct n=36 (Topic A) or 39 (B), CI wrong n=8 (A) or 5 (B). Independent T-test p > 0.05.

Results – Conceptual vs. Procedural

- Four topics were tested on the procedural retnetion test which could be matched to at least three questions on the concept inventory test.
 - Retention of procedural knowledge was significantly lower than that of conceptual knowledge when comparing scores on the matched CI and procedural retention test questions.
- Performance on procedural test items was significantly reduced for some topics when comparing scores on matched test items from midterms/final exams and the procedural retention test (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Comparison of average student performance on test items from the concept inventory, midterms/final exams and procedural retnetion test. Test items are matched based on the concept being tested and required to solve a problem. CI Ret – Concept Inventory Retention Test Item; PR-Term – Procedural Test Item from midterms or final exam; PR-Ret – Procedural Retention Test Item. Topic A – Genetic Linkage; Topic B – Genetic Complementation; Topic C- Gene Interaction; Topic D – Inheritance (Pedigree Analysis). Error bars are standard deviation. *Indicates t-test p < 0.01.

Conceptual Understanding vs. Problem Solving



Testing Conceptual Understanding

- Concept Inventory 89%
 Part a of Final Exam 92%
 - Part a of Procedural Test 73%

<u>Testing Problem Solving</u> Part b of Final Exam – 57% Part b of Procedural Test – 47%

Student test scores indicate conceptual understanding but reduced success at applying the concept to solve a problem.

Summary

- The students tested had greater retention of conceptual knowledge compared to procedural knowledge.
- Conceptual understanding does not necessarily correlate with procedural or problem solving performance.
- Focusing class time to encourage a deep conceptual understanding may promote longer term retention.
- Necessary procedural knowledge may require more spreadout practice (or remedial work).

Thank you to all the 234 student volunteers for your time. To Carl Wieman, Sarah Gilbert, Trish Schulte and the Life Sciences CWSEI group for helpful feedback. To Jennifer Klenz and George Haughn for providing class time for the concept inventory test.

Questions about this work? Please contact: Lisa McDonnell <u>Imcdonne@zoology.ubc.ca</u> Pam Kalas <u>kalas@zoology.ubc.ca</u>

Cited work:

1 Smith, M., Knight, J, and Wood, W. 2008. The Genetics Concept Assessment: A New Concept Inventory for Gauging Student Understanding of Genetics. CBE-Life Sciences Education 7(4): 422-430.

2 Kalas, P., O'Neill, A., Pollock, C., and Birol, G. 2012. Development and Application of a Meiosis Concept Inventory. Submitted for review to Cell Biology Education, Oct 2012.